| OP-14: Campus Fleet | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Gasoline-electric, non-plug-in hybrid vehicles in the institution's fleet : |  |
| Diesel-electric, non-plug-in hybrid vehicles in the institution's fleet : |  |
| Plug-in hybrid vehicles in the institution's fleet: | 6 |
| 100 percent electric vehicles in the institution's fleet : |  |
| Hydrogen fueled vehicles in the institution's fleet : |  |
| Vehicles in the institution's fleet that are fueled with B20 or higher biofuel for more than 6 months of the year : |  |
| Vehicles in the institution's fleet that are fueled with E85 or higher ethanol for more than 6 months of the year : |  |
| Total number of vehicles in the institution's fleet, including all of the above : |  |
| $\quad$ Total \% of alternative fleet vehicles | 100 |
| Points Earned | $6 \%$ |
| Notes and method of collection | 0.12 |
| As of Spring 2011, Ken Nelson is the fleet supervisor. He was contacted and collected the information. |  |


| OP-15: Student Commute Modal Split | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Students who walk, bicycle, or use other non-motorized means as their primary method of transportation: | $7 \%$ |
| Students who van or carpool as their primary method of transportation: | $11 \%$ |
| Students who take a campus shuttle as their primary method of transportation: | $7 \%$ |
| Students who take public transportation as their primary method of transportation: | $22 \%$ |
| Students who take classes from home instead of attending a campus | $7 \%$ |
| Students who travel to campus via motorcycle or scooter |  |
| Students who drive alone as their primary method of transportation: | $46 \%$ |
| Total Percentage of Students Commuting to Campus in methods OTHER then Single Occupancy Vehicles | $54 \%$ |
|  |  |

Points Earned
2.16

## Notes and method of collection

Results are based on a survey conducted by the Western Transportation Alliance in the Fall of 2011. The survey was done for the first time in the Fall 2011. The survey ran for two weeks and received approximately 2800 responses (about $8.5 \%$ of our total student population). We also did spot checks on the shuttles during one of the weeks to make sure the the survey results resembled hard numbers. We took the numbers from Monday's riders to determine percentages. We did not include percentages for those who did not have class that day or did not come to campus because they were distance learning students. The student population total was head count of on campus students only gathered from Institutional Effectiveness.

| OP-16: Employee Commute Modal Split | Percent |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of employees who walk, bicycle, or use other non-motorized means as their primary method of transportation : | 9.91 |
| Percentage of institution's employees who van or carpool as their primary method of transportation : | 3.74 |
| Percentage of employees who take a campus shuttle or public transportation as their primary method of transportation : | 13.88 |
| Percentage of institution's employees who drive alone as their primary method of transportation : | 71.95 |
| Percentage of non-SOV drivers Total Points | $\begin{gathered} \hline 28.05 \% \\ 0.84 \end{gathered}$ |
| Notes and method of collection |  |
| Results are based on a survey conducted by the Western Transportation Alliance in the Fall of 2010. The survey is done bi-annually as part of a requirement by the Department of Environmental Quality. |  |

